ENGINEERING STUDENTS' LEVEL OF STUDY HABITS AND FACTORS AFFECTING THEM

*Dr. Jake M. Laguador

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on the level of study habits of engineering students in school and at home, the level of the factors that affects the study habits and practices of engineering students at home and in school and the primary output of the study is its implication to the programs of student activities of the College of Engineering. The descriptive type of research was utilized in the study. Results showed that majority of the respondents belongs to 17 years old, freshmen male Computer Engineering students. Engineering students have high level of study habits at home and very high at school. Factors affecting the study habits of Engineering students at home and in school are moderately serious. The course has significant difference in the problems encountered by engineering students in school. Students must always be encouraged by teachers and parents to make their college days productive through studying the lessons regularly and lessen the unnecessary activities.

Keywords: Study Habits, Engineering Students, LPU, Study Skills

^{*} Faculty Member College of Engineering, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Batangas City, Philippines

INTRODUCTION

Many educators explore the fact that a number of students have not learned well while in high school and elementary grades. Because of this, students now seem to know less and use despite the availability of the study materials. Likewise, students do not know how to think and study properly and effectively. Only few teachers gave much attention to the improvement of these skills. To this effect, many students were able to proceed to the higher level of instruction without developing the habit of scheduling their study time. Thus, many talents and potentialities remain underdeveloped due to less attention given to their academic and personal growth.

There are various factors that affect the students' academic achievement. Amongst these factors are some activities called study habits which the students gained, preferred and like to do for learning at and out of school (Hotaman, 2009). Findings of the study of Oluwatimilehin and Owoyele (2012) revealed that of all the study habits' subscales, 'teacher consultation' was most influential while the 'time allocation' exercise, concentration, no taking reading and assignments were regarded as less integral to students' academic performances. Therefore, regular counseling services to train students on study skills strategies were advocated in order to boost their study habit and enhance their academic achievement.

Few things affect a student's performance as much as the development of productive study skills. Study skills encourage areas such as work attitudes, time management, homework strategies and test-taking skills. Many study skills are taught in the classroom, but others can only be addressed at home. This study focuses on the level of study habits of engineering students in school and at home, the level of the factors that affects the study habits and practices of engineering students at home and in school and the primary output of the study is its implication to the programs of student activities of the College of Engineering.

The output of the study will serve as the baseline information to conduct series of seminars to the students of the College to enhance their level of study habits. The researchers decided to pursue this study to help the students attain their full potentials as students who have the capacity to achieve and obtain remarkable grades and performance higher than what they are receiving at present.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

It is the major purpose of this study to determine the level of study habits of engineering students at home and in school and the factors that affects to these study habits and practices. Specifically, it determined the profile of Engineering students at the Lyceum of the Philippines University in terms of age, degree program, gender and year level; determine the level of study of habits and practices of engineering students at home and in school; determine the level of the factors that affects the study habits and practices of engineering students at home and in school; differences on the respondents' level of study habits and practices and the factors affecting them at home and in school when they are grouped according to their profile; as well as the implications of this study to the teachers and student services of the College of Engineering.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The descriptive type of research was utilized in the study. Descriptive survey method is appropriate for data derived from simple observational situations, whether these are actually physically observed or observed through the use of a questionnaire or poll techniques (Costales and Zulueta, 2003). The survey questionnaire for determining the attitude of the students towards some school-related variables was used to collect data and information. There were 104 students involved in the study from 1st year to third year of General Engineering, Computer Engineering, Mechanical Engineering, Electronics Communications Engineering and Industrial Engineering programs under the College of Engineering. The questions in this survey were adapted from the original "Math Study Skills Inventory" of Dr. Carolyn Hopper used for her text "Practical College Study Skills". Some questions were revised to make it appropriate for College Students. The questionnaire was administered personally by the researchers to the first year to third year Engineering students.

The data collected were classified, tabulated and coded using SPSS for analysis. Frequency, weighted mean and percentage were the statistical tools used to analyze the data. On the Scale for Measuring the Study habits of Engineering students, the following arbitrary point scale was utilized:

ISSN: 2321-1776

3.20 - 4.00	Very Serious	Almost Always
2.50 - 3.19	Serious	Sometimes
1.80 - 2.49	Moderately Serious	Seldom

Not Serious

On the scale for measuring the level of study habits of Engineering students, the following arbitrary point scale was utilized:

Almost Never

9 – 10	Very High
7 – 8	High
5 – 6	Average
3 - 4	Low
1 - 2	Very Low

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1.00 - 1.79

This part discusses and interprets the data collected through the instrument employed by using generally accepted statistical tools and principles. Primary sources of the data for the study came from the questionnaire distributed to the selected engineering students at Lyceum of the Philippines University during the SY 2006-2007.

Table 1 shows the frequency distribution of the respondents according to age, course, gender and year level.

Table 1
Frequency Distribution of the Respondents according to Age, Course, Gender and Year Level

Profile	_	Frequency	Percent
Age	17	38	27.9
	18	30	22.1
	19	25	18.4
	20	30	22.1
	21	9	6.6
	22-above	4	2.9
	Total	136	100.0
Course	Gen. Eng	34	25.0
	BSCoE	64	47.1
	BSME	15	11.0

Majority of the respondents belongs to 17 years old or freshmen with 27.9 percent followed by the 18 and 20 years old (22.1 percent). BSCoE has the most number of respondents with 64 students or 47.1 percent while BSIE has the lowest number of respondents with 10 or 7.4 percent. The engineering profession is still dominated by male with 106 respondents or 77.9 percent against 30 or 22.1 percent female respondents.

Table 2 reveals the level of study habits and practices of engineering students at home.

The respondents always make and submit their assignments and projects on time (WM = 3.24) with the highest level of 9 study habits at home, they sometimes have a specific place at home with few distractions to study (WM = 3.13) and they sometimes make a definite time to study (WM = 3.09) which ranked 1^{st} , 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} respectively.

Table 2
Level of Study Habits and Practices of Engineering Students at Home

	Level of Study Habits and Practices of Engineering Students at Home								
A	t Home	WM	Level	VI	Rank				
a.	Makes a definite time to study.	3.09	8	Sometimes	3				
b.	I have a specific place at home with few distractions to study.	3.13	8	Sometimes	2				
c.	Makes and submits my assignments and projects on-time.	3.24	9	Almost Always	1				
d.	Seeks the help of parents in answering difficult questions in the assignment.	1.95	5	Seldom	9				
e.	Borrows books/references to be read at home.	2.85	8	Sometimes	6				
f.	Reads books and references at home.	2.93	8	Sometimes	5				
g.	Studies regularly at home	2.82	8	Sometimes	7				
h.	Reads lectures/notes at home.	2.96	8	Sometimes	4				
i.	I read my textbook before I come to class	2.78	7	Sometimes	8				
Co	omposite Mean	2.86	8	Sometimes					

They are studying sometimes at home (WM = 2.82), sometimes they read their textbook before they come to class (WM =2.78) and they rarely seek the help of parents in answering difficult questions in the assignment (WM = 1.95) which ranked 7^{th} , 8^{th} and 9^{th} respectively. This implies that Engineering students have high level of study habits and practices at home.

Table 3 reveals the level of study habits and practices of engineering students in School

Table 3
Level of Study Habits and Practices of Engineering Students In School

In School	WM	Level	VI	Rank
a. Goes to school early and complete the lecture/notes.	3.16	8	Sometimes	7.5
b. Listens attentively to the classroom discussions	3.43	9	Almost Always	1.5
c. Asks the help of teachers to understand the lesson.	3.16	8	Sometimes	7.5
d. Asks the help of classmates to understand the lesson.	3.31	9	Almost Always	5
e. Goes to the library & have further reading about the lesson.	2.74	7	Sometimes	10
f. Joins group study during vacant period.	2.77	7	Sometimes	9
g. Makes school life enjoyable.	3.42	9	Almost Always	3
h. I take notes in class.	3.43	9	Almost Always	1.5
i. I ask questions when I am confused.	3.21	9	Almost Always	6
j. I try to understand and submit all my			•	
activities and seat works after the class period	3.35	9	Almost Always	4
Composite Mean	3.20	9	Almost Always	

The respondents always take notes in class (WM = 3.43) and listen attentively to the classroom discussions (WM = 3.43) and they make school life enjoyable (WM = 3.42) with the level 9 of study habits. But for quite sometimes, they go to the library and have further reading about the lesson (WM = 2.74) and they join group study during vacant period (WM = 2.77) with the lowest level of 7 study habits and practices in school.

The composite mean of 3.20 falls within the level 9 with the verbal interpretation of almost always. This implies that Engineering students have high level of study habits and practices in school.

ISSN: 2321–1776

Table 4 reveals the factors that affect the study habits and practices of engineering students at home.

Table 4
Factors that Affects the Study Habits and Practices of Engineering Students at Home

A	t Home	Weighted Mean	Level	Verbal Interpretation	Rank
a.	I find no time to study and prepare assignments.	2.43	7	Moderately Serious	4.5
b.	Study area is not conducive for study	2.40	7	Moderately Serious	6
c.	Books and references are inadequate.	2.55	7	Serious	2
d.	My Parents are not interested & supportive in helping me with my difficult assignments & projects	2.01	6	Moderately Serious	9
e.	I have insufficient finances to support studies and projects.	2.43	7	Moderately Serious	4.5
f.	Household chores are barriers to my studies.	2.35	6	Moderately Serious	7
g.	Television shows/movies distract my studies.	2.72	7	Serious	1
h.	Family problems make me lose my interest in studying	2.51	7	Serious	3
i.	My friends and barkada tempt me to go out even weekdays.	2.26	6	Moderately Serious	8
j.	I'm living in a crowded and disordered community.	1.89	5	Moderately Serious	10
Co	omposite Mean	2.355	6	Moderately Serious	

The number 1 serious problem considered by the respondents at home is the Television shows/movies distract their studies (WM = 2.72), followed by books and references are inadequate (WM = 2.55) and Family problems make them lose their interest in studying (WM = 2.51). The respondents considered their friends tempting them to go out even weekdays (WM = 2.26) as moderately serious problem, also the same level with their parents are not interested and supportive in helping them with their difficult assignments and projects (WM = 2.01). The lowest degree of seriousness considered by the respondents is, "they are living in a crowded and disordered community" (WM = 1.89) with the level of 5. The composite mean of 2.355 falls within the level 6 with the verbal interpretation of moderately serious.

Table 5 shows the factors that affect the study habits and practices of engineering students in school.

Table 5

ISSN: 2321–1776

Factors that Affects the Study Habits and Practices of Engineering Students In School								
In	School	WM	Level	VI	Rank			
a.	Noise pollution interrupts my studying.	2.67	7	Serious	1			
b.	There is inadequate books/references.	2.52	7	Serious	4			
c.	Study area is not conducive to studying.	2.29	6	Moderately Serious	8			
d.	Class schedule is not favorable.	2.54	7	Serious	3			
e.	Review of past lessons is not always practiced in class	2.43	7	Moderately Serious	5			
f.	Extra curricular activities cause me to get behind my school work	2.35	6	Moderately Serious	6			
g.	Classrooms are not well-ventilated.	1.93	5	Moderately Serious	10			
h.	Teachers are not approachable to entertain questions.	2.10	6	Moderately Serious	9			
i.	Cheating is frequently happening during minor and major exams.	2.33	6	Moderately Serious	7			
j.	Teacher explains/discusses sometimes not clear.	2.61	7	Serious	2			
Co	omposite Mean	2.377	6	Moderately Serious				

The number 1 serious problem considered by the respondents in school is the interruption of noise pollution while studying (WM = 2.67), followed by teacher explains/discusses sometimes not clear (WM = 2.61) and Class schedule is not favorable (2.54) which ranked 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} respectively.

Meanwhile, the respondents perceived moderately serious problems in school are: Study area is not conducive to studying (WM = 2.29), Teachers are not approachable to entertain questions. (WM = 2.10) and Classrooms are not well-ventilated (WM 1.93) which ranked 8^{th} , 9^{th} and 10^{th} respectively. The composite mean of 2.377 falls within the level 6 with the verbal interpretation of moderately serious.

Table 6 reveals the comparison of level of study habits and practices of Engineering Students at home and in school when they are grouped according to age.

Twenty and twenty-two years old students found out with the lowest level of study habits at home with the weighted mean scores of 2.69 and 2.58 respectively. While 17, 18 and 22 years old students obtained the lowest level of 8 in study habits and practices in school.

ISSN: 2321-1776

Both group of respondents obtained the same level of study habits at home while male (WM = 3.21) respondents obtained a higher level of study habits in school against female (WM = 3.17) respondents. First year students (WM = 2.96) obtained the highest weighted mean of study habits and practices at home while Fourth year students (WM = 3.28) obtained the highest weighted mean score in study habits in school.

Table 6
Comparison of level of study habits and practices of Engineering
Students at home and in school when they are
grouped according Profile

Age	Study Habits At Home	Level	VI	Study Habits In School	Level	VI
17	2.93	8	Sometimes	3.13	8	Sometimes
18	2.88	8	Sometimes	3.12	8	Sometimes
19	2.92	8	Sometimes	3.28	9	Almost Always
20	2.69	7	Sometimes	3.26	9	Almost Always
21	3.05	8	Sometimes	3.34	9	Almost Always
22-above	2.58	7	Sometimes	3.15	8	Sometimes
Course						
GE	3.03	8	Sometimes	3.29	9	Almost Always
BSCoE	2.78	7	Sometimes	3.10	8	Sometimes
BSME	2.79	7	Sometimes	3.26	9	Almost Always
BSECE	3.06	8	Sometimes	3.35	9	Almost Always
BSIE	2.63	7	Sometimes	3.21	8	Almost Always
Gender						-
Male	2.85	8	Sometimes	3.21	9	Almost Always
Female	2.89	8	Sometimes	3.17	8	Sometimes
Year Level						
1	2.96	8	Sometimes	3.09	8	Sometimes
2	2.86	8	Sometimes	3.20	9	Almost Always
3	2.86	8	Sometimes	3.24	9	Almost Always
4	2.74	7	Sometimes	3.28	9	Almost Always

The fourth year (WM = 2.74) students obtained the lowest level of study habits and practices at home, while first year students (WM = 3.09) obtained the lowest level of study habits and practices in school.

Table 7 shows the Comparison of the Factors Affecting the Study Habits and Practices of Engineering Students at home and in school when they are grouped according to their profile.

ISSN: 2321–1776

Table 7
Comparison of the Factors Affecting the Study Habits and Practices of Engineering Students at home and in school when they are grouped according to Profile

		Home		Verbal	School		Verbal
		Problem	Level	Interpretation	Problem	Level	Interpretation
Age	17	2.41	7	MS	2.41	7	MS
	18	2.28	6	MS	2.25	6	MS
	19	2.54	7	S	2.49	7	MS
	20	2.25	6	MS	2.28	6	MS
	21	2.28	6	MS	2.62	7	S
	22- above	2.25	6	MS	2.48	7	MS
Course	GE	2.27	6	MS	2.11	6	MS
	BSCoE	2.38	6	MS	2.47	7	MS
	BSME	2.13	6	MS	2.21	6	MS
	BSECE	2.53	7	S	2.52	7	S
	BSIE	2.58	7	S	2.75	7	S
Gender	Male	2.40	7	MS	2.38	6	MS
	Female	2.21	6	MS	2.35	6	MS
Year Level	1	2.46	7	MS	2.42	7	MS
	2	2.25	6	MS	2.18	6	MS
	3	2.45	6	MS	2.52	7	S
	4	2.26	6	MS	2.41	7	MS

Male respondents (WM = 2.40) obtained a higher level of problem in study habits and weighted mean score at home against the female respondents (WM = 2.21) while both group of respondents obtained the same level of seriousness or moderately serious to the factors that affect their study habits and practices in school.

Freshman (WM = 2.46) students obtained the highest level in terms if factors that affect their study habits and practices at home followed by the sophomore (WM = 2.45) with the only difference of 0.01 with the same verbal interpretation of moderately serious.

Meanwhile, the third year, first year and fourth year students obtained the level seven (7) degree of seriousness or moderately serious problem that affects their study habits and practices in school with the weighted mean scores of 2.52, 2.42 and 2.41 respectively.

ISSN: 2321–1776

Table 8

Difference in the level of study habits and practices and factors affecting them at home at in school of engineering students when they are grouped according to

		Frome		
	Age	Course	Gender	Year Level
At Home	.109	.014	.659	.225
In School	.326	.068	.595	.183
Problem at Home	.309	.100	.070	.148
Problem in School	.329	.001	.787	.062

There is no significant difference between the age and the level of study habits and practices and factors affecting them at home and in school of the respondents. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no significant difference is accepted. Course has significant difference in the level of the study habits of the respondents at home and factors that affect the study habits and practices of the respondents in school. Therefore the null hypothesis is rejected.

There is no significant difference between the gender and the level of study habits and practices and the factors that affecting them at home and in school. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no significant difference is accepted.

Table 9 shows the significant difference in the level of study of habits and practices and factors affecting them at home at in school of engineering students when they are grouped according to year level.

Table 9
Difference in the Level of Study Habits and Practices and Factors Affecting the Engineering Students when They are Grouped According to Year Level

Year Level	-	Sum of Squares	df	F	Sig.	Interpretation
At Home	Between Groups	.872	3	1.474		Not Significant
At nome	Within Groups	26.042	132			Not Significant
In Cahaal	Between Groups	.693	3	1.640		Not Significant
In School	Within Groups	18.597	132			Not Significant
Problem at Home	Between Groups	1.351	3	1.815		Not Significant
Froblem at Home	Within Groups	32.764	132			Not Significant
Problem in School	Between Groups	2.049	3	2.505		Not Cianificant
Problem in School	Within Groups	35.989	132			Not Significant

There is no significant difference between the year level and the level of study habits and practices of the respondents and the factors affecting them at home and in school. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no significant difference is accepted.

Implications of the Study

Results revealed that the engineering students are very seldom to ask questions to their parents regarding the assignment in schools because most parents nowadays are busy in their respective duties and responsibilities not only as parents but also as part of the growing community and business.

The College of Engineering faculty members must encouraged the students to read textbooks or reference books being used in the subject regularly before coming to class, use the library facilities and services for further reading about the lessons and they must also establish group study during vacant periods to utilize their spare time to a most productive one.

Parents must keep away their children from watching television most especially during weekdays to concentrate from studying and finishing their assignments. Noise must be eliminated during class periods at the corridor or noise from library while studying. Teachers must also explain or discuss clearly all the topics being discussed and they must consume the complete hours allotted for the subject.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Majority of the respondents belongs to 17 years old, freshmen male Computer Engineering students. Engineering students have high level of study habits at home and very high at school. Factors affecting the study habits of Engineering students at home and in school are moderately serious. The course has significant difference in the problems encountered by engineering students in school. Students must always be encouraged by teachers and parents to make their college days productive through studying the lessons regularly and lessen the unnecessary activities.

Teachers must always motivate their students to submit the assignments on time and have the regular consultation of students who need more assistance and guidance. Teachers must treat the students equally even the slow learners and give them proper attention to develop the appropriate way of study habits and practices inside and outside the classroom. Parents must keep away their children from watching television most especially during weekdays to concentrate from studying and finishing their assignments. Teachers must also explain or discuss clearly all the topics being discussed and they must consume the complete hours allotted for the subject. It is recommended that guidance services where affective behavior of students can be properly monitored and worked on to all freshmen students to established a better academic foundation and facilitate the achievement of the objective of the universal basic education (Aquino, 2011). Seminars on enhancing the study habits of engineering students must be done to increase the level of their academic performance.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aquino, Luisa B., (2011). Study Habits and Attitudes of Freshmen Students: Implications for Academic Intervention Programs, Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 2(5): 1116-1121, doi:10.4304/jltr.2.5.1116-1121.
- 2. Hopper, C. Practical College Study Skills, available online: http://www.purplemath.com/stdysrvy.htm.
- 3. Hotaman, Davut (2009). The research of the influence of some individual variables on the students' study habits independently, with a partner, International Journal of Human Sciences, 6 (1): 528-546.
- 4. Oluwatimilehin, J.T.B. and Owoyele, J.W. (2012). Study Habits and Academic Achievement in Core Subjects among Junior Secondary School Students in Ondo State, Nigeria, Bulgarian Journal of Science and Education Policy 6(1): 155-169.
- Zulueta, Francisco M. and Costales Jr., Nestor Edilberto B., (2006), Methods of Research, Thesis-Writing and Applied Statistics, National Bookstore, Manila Philippines.